

On threshold resummation of the longitudinal structure function

G. Grunberg

*Centre de Physique Théorique, École Polytechnique
91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France*

E-mail: georges.grunberg@pascal.cpht.polytechnique.fr

ABSTRACT: The validity of a previously proposed momentum space ansatz for threshold resummation of the non-singlet longitudinal structure function F_L is checked against existing finite order three-loop results. It is found that the ansatz, which is an assumption for the large- x behavior of the physical evolution kernel, does not work beyond the leading logarithmic contributions to the kernel even at $\mathcal{O}(1/(1-x))$ order (except at large- β_0), which is consistent with a recent observation of Moch and Vogt. Corrections down by one power of $1-x$ are also studied. At $\mathcal{O}((1-x)^0)$ order, the corresponding ansatz fails already at the leading logarithmic level, where the situation appears similar to that encountered in the case of the F_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) structure functions. At the next-to-leading logarithmic level, the same term (with opposite sign) responsible for the failure of the ansatz at $\mathcal{O}(1/(1-x))$ order is found to occur.

KEYWORDS: resummation.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. The ansatz	2
3. Checking the ansatz	4
4. Threshold resummation beyond leading order	7
5. Conclusion	8
A. Soft part of $c_L^{(i)}(x)$	9
B. Soft parts of $\Delta_2(x)$ and $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$	10
C. Soft part of $\Delta_3(x)$	11
D. Large-β_0 results	12
D.1 Coefficient function	13
D.2 Physical evolution kernel	16

1. Introduction

Threshold resummation, which deals with the resummation to all orders of perturbation theory of the large logarithmic corrections arising from the incomplete cancellation of soft and collinear gluons at the edge of phase space, is by now a well developed topic [1,2] in perturbative QCD. Latter, the subject was extended [3–5] to cover also the resummation of logarithmically enhanced terms which are suppressed by some power of the gluon energy $(1-x)$ for $x \rightarrow 1$ in momentum space (or by some power of $1/N$, $N \rightarrow \infty$ in moment space), concentrating on the case of the longitudinal structure function F_L in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) where these corrections are actually the leading terms. Some renewed interest has been expressed recently in this subject [6–12]. In particular, in [7] a very simple form was obtained for the structure of threshold resummation at all orders in $(1-x)$ in the large- β_0 limit in *momentum space* for the F_2 structure function, and a straightforward generalization of the large- β_0 result to finite β_0 was suggested, and further developed in [11]. The ansatz in [7,11] was obtained by working at the level of the momentum space physical evolution kernels (or ‘physical anomalous dimensions’, see e.g. [13–17]), which are infrared and collinear safe quantities describing the physical scaling violation, where the structure of the result appears to be particularly transparent. Moreover an ansatz for the

momentum space physical evolution kernel in the case of F_L , similar to that for F_2 , was suggested in [7]. The purpose of this paper is to check the latter ansatz using the exact three-loop calculations of the longitudinal structure function of [18, 19], and to compare with the closely related approach of [10]. At leading order in $1 - x$, it is found that the conjecture (section 2) proposed in [7] does not actually work beyond leading logarithms (section 3), except at large- β_0 , which is consistent with a recent observation of [10]. In section 4, an extended ansatz is investigated at the next order in $1 - x$, where it is found to fail already at the leading logarithmic level, as in the case of F_2 . Concluding remarks are given in section 5, and more technical details are exposed in four appendix. In particular, the large- β_0 case is treated extensively in appendix D.

2. The ansatz

The scale-dependence of the deep inelastic “coefficient functions” $\mathcal{C}_{a=2,L}(x, Q^2, \mu_F^2)$ corresponding to the flavor non-singlet $F_a(x, Q^2)$ structure functions ($F_a(x, Q^2)/x = \mathcal{C}_a(x, Q^2, \mu_F^2) \otimes q_{a,ns}(x, \mu_F^2)$, where $q_{a,ns}(x, \mu_F^2)$ is the corresponding quark distribution) can be expressed in terms of $\mathcal{C}_a(x, Q^2, \mu_F^2)$ itself, yielding the following evolution equation (see e.g. Refs. [14, 15, 17]):

$$\frac{d\mathcal{C}_a(x, Q^2, \mu_F^2)}{d \ln Q^2} = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} K_a(x/z, Q^2) \mathcal{C}_a(z, Q^2, \mu_F^2) , \quad (2.1)$$

where μ_F is the factorization scale (we assume for definiteness the $\overline{MS}$ factorization scheme is used). $K_a(x, Q^2)$ is the momentum space *physical evolution kernel*, or *physical anomalous dimension*; it is independent of the factorization scale and renormalization-scheme invariant. In [20], using standard results [1, 2] of Sudakov resummation in moment space, the result for the leading contribution to this quantity in the $x \rightarrow 1$ limit was derived for $a = 2$ (we suppress the subscript $a = 2$ for simplicity in this case):

$$K(x, Q^2) \sim \frac{\mathcal{J}((1-x)Q^2)}{1-x} + \frac{d \ln (\mathcal{F}(Q^2))^2}{d \ln Q^2} \delta(1-x) , \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(Q^2)$ is the quark form factor, and $\mathcal{J}(Q^2)$, the ‘physical Sudakov anomalous dimension’ (a renormalization scheme invariant quantity), is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(Q^2) &= A(a_s(Q^2)) + \frac{dB(a_s(Q^2))}{d \ln k^2} \\ &= A(a_s(Q^2)) + \beta(a_s(Q^2)) \frac{dB(a_s(Q^2))}{da_s} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$A(a_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i a_s^i \quad (2.4)$$

is the universal “cusp” anomalous dimension [21] (with $a_s \equiv \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}$ the $\overline{MS}$ coupling),

$$\beta(a_s) = \frac{da_s}{d \ln Q^2} = -\beta_0 a_s^2 - \beta_1 a_s^3 - \beta_2 a_s^4 + \dots \quad (2.5)$$

is the beta function (with $\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{2}{3}n_f$) and

$$B(a_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i a_s^i \quad (2.6)$$

is the standard final state “jet function” anomalous dimension. It should be noted that both $A(a_s)$ and $B(a_s)$ (in contrast to $\mathcal{J}(Q^2)$) are renormalization scheme-dependent quantities. Eq.(2.2) shows that threshold resummation takes a very simple form directly in *momentum-space* when dealing with the physical evolution kernel: $\mathcal{J}((1-x)Q^2)/(1-x)$ is the leading term in the expansion of the physical momentum space kernel $K(x, Q^2)$ in the $x \rightarrow 1$ limit with $(1-x)Q^2$ fixed, and all threshold logarithms are absorbed into the *single* scale $(1-x)Q^2$. The term proportional to $\delta(1-x)$ is comprised of purely virtual corrections associated with the quark form factor. This term is infrared divergent, but the singularity cancels exactly upon integrating over x with the divergence of the integral of $\mathcal{J}((1-x)Q^2)/(1-x)$ near $x \rightarrow 1$. Indeed eq.(2.2) can be written equivalently [20] in term of infrared finite quantities as:

$$K(x, Q^2) \sim \left[\frac{\mathcal{J}((1-x)Q^2)}{1-x} \right]_+ + \underbrace{\left(\frac{d \ln (\mathcal{F}(Q^2))^2}{d \ln Q^2} + \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \mathcal{J}(\mu^2) \right)}_{\text{infrared finite}} \delta(1-x), \quad (2.7)$$

where the integration prescription $[\]_+$ is defined by

$$\int_0^1 dx F(x) \left[\frac{\mathcal{J}((1-x)Q^2)}{1-x} \right]_+ = \int_0^1 dx (F(x) - F(1)) \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}((1-x)Q^2)}{1-x} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

In [7], it was suggested that a result similar to eq.(2.7) could also be valid for the (non-singlet) longitudinal structure function $F_L(x, Q^2)$ (with a different physical Sudakov anomalous dimension $\mathcal{J}_L$ and a different coefficient $\mathcal{B}(Q^2)$ of the $\delta(1-x)$ term), namely, for $x \rightarrow 1$:

$$K_L(x, Q^2) \sim \left[\frac{\mathcal{J}_L((1-x)Q^2)}{1-x} \right]_+ + \mathcal{B}(Q^2) \delta(1-x), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_L(Q^2) &= A(a_s(Q^2)) + \frac{dB_L(a_s(Q^2))}{d \ln Q^2} \\ &= A(a_s(Q^2)) + \beta(a_s(Q^2)) \frac{dB_L(a_s(Q^2))}{da_s}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

with

$$B_L(a_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{L,i} a_s^i \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(Q^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b^{(i)} a_s^i(Q^2) . \quad (2.12)$$

3. Checking the ansatz

Let us first derive the $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$ exact result. Starting from the expansion of the moment space coefficient function

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_L(Q^2, N, \mu_F^2) = \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \mathcal{C}_L(x, Q^2, \mu_F^2) \quad (3.1)$$

at $\mu_F^2 = Q^2$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_L(N, a_s) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_L(Q^2, N, \mu_F^2 = Q^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_L^{(i)}(N) a_s^i \quad (3.2)$$

where $a_s \equiv a_s(Q^2)$, the moment space “physical evolution kernel”

$$\tilde{K}_L(Q^2, N) \equiv \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} K_L(x, Q^2) = \frac{d \ln \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_L(Q^2, N, \mu_F^2)}{d \ln Q^2} \quad (3.3)$$

is given by:

$$\tilde{K}_L(Q^2, N) = \gamma(N, a_s) + \beta(a_s) \frac{d \ln \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_L(N, a_s)}{d a_s} , \quad (3.4)$$

where $\gamma(N, a_s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_i(N) a_s^{i+1}$ is the non-singlet anomalous dimension in Mellin space. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_L(Q^2, N) &= [\gamma_0(N) - \beta_0] a_s + [\gamma_1(N) - \beta_1 - \beta_0 \tilde{\Delta}_2(N)] a_s^2 \\ &\quad + [\gamma_2(N) - \beta_2 - \beta_1 \tilde{\Delta}_2(N) - \beta_0 (2\tilde{\Delta}_3(N) - \tilde{\Delta}_2(N)^2)] a_s^3 + \dots , \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Delta}_i(N) = \frac{\tilde{c}_L^{(i)}(N)}{\tilde{c}_L^{(1)}(N)} . \quad (3.6)$$

Thus for the momentum space kernel one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} K_L(x, Q^2) &= P_0(x) a_s + [P_1(x) - \beta_0 \Delta_2(x)] a_s^2 \\ &\quad + [P_2(x) - \beta_1 \Delta_2(x) - \beta_0 (2\Delta_3(x) - \Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x))] a_s^3 + \dots \\ &\quad - (\beta_0 a_s + \beta_1 a_s^2 + \beta_2 a_s^3 + \dots) \delta(1-x) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $P_i(x)$ are the standard $(i+1)$ -loop splitting functions,

$$\Delta_i(x) = -\frac{x^2}{4 C_F} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{c_L^{(i)}(x)}{x} \right) + \delta_i \delta(1-x) \quad (3.8)$$

is the inverse Mellin of $\tilde{\Delta}_i(N)$, with the delta function coefficients δ_i to be determined¹ accordingly:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_i(N) = \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \Delta_i(x) , \quad (3.9)$$

and $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x) \equiv \Delta_2(x) \otimes \Delta_2(x)$. Moreover $c_L^{(i)}(x)$ is the inverse Mellin of $\tilde{c}_L^{(i)}(N)$ defined in eq.(3.2):

$$\tilde{c}_L^{(i)}(N) = \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} c_L^{(i)}(x) , \quad (3.10)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{C}_L(x, Q^2, \mu_F^2 = Q^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_L^{(i)}(x) a_s^i , \quad (3.11)$$

and the input $\tilde{c}_L^{(1)}(N) = 4C_F/(N+1)$ has been used.

Considering now the $x \rightarrow 1$ limit, the leading $\mathcal{O}(1/(1-x))$ term in the physical kernel $K_L(x, Q^2)$ to $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$ can be derived from the well-known result [22] for the splitting functions

$$P_i(x) \sim \frac{A_{i+1}}{(1-x)_+} + \dots , \quad (3.12)$$

where the A_i are known [23, 24] up to $i = 3$, together with the the two [25–27] and three loop [10, 12, 18, 19] results for the longitudinal coefficient function. The result of the exact calculation is most easily stated by comparing it with the result following from the ansatz eq.(2.9).

The renormalization group invariance of $\mathcal{J}_L$ yields the standard relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_L((1-x)Q^2) &= j_{L,1} a_s + a_s^2 [-j_{L,1}\beta_0 L_x + j_{L,2}] \\ &+ a_s^3 [j_{L,1}\beta_0^2 L_x^2 - (j_{L,1}\beta_1 + 2j_{L,2}\beta_0) L_x + j_{L,3}] \\ &+ a_s^4 [-j_{L,1}\beta_0^3 L_x^3 + (\frac{5}{2}j_{L,1}\beta_1\beta_0 + 3j_{L,2}\beta_0^2) L_x^2 - (j_{L,1}\beta_2 + 2j_{L,2}\beta_1 + 3j_{L,3}\beta_0) L_x + j_{L,4}] + \dots , \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $a_s = a_s(Q^2)$ and $L_x \equiv \ln(1-x)$. Comparing eq.(2.9) and (3.13) with the exact result for the leading $\mathcal{O}(1/(1-x))$ term in $K_L(x, Q^2)$, one finds (see appendices (A-C)):

i) The leading logarithms in the exact result agree with the leading logarithms in eq.(3.13), provided

$$j_{L,1} = A_1 = 4C_F . \quad (3.14)$$

¹ δ_2 is given in eq.(B.2).

Eq.(3.14) corresponds to eq.(7) in [10]. I note that this result implies that the $\frac{\ln^3(1-x)}{1-x}$ terms present separately in $\Delta_3(x)$ and $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$ cancel in the combination $2\Delta_3(x) - \Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$.

ii) There is a discrepancy at the next-to-leading logarithmic level, starting at $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$, namely:

Comparing the $\mathcal{O}(a_s^2)$ term in eq.(3.13) with the corresponding term in the exact result, one determines

$$j_{L,2} = A_2 + \beta_0(\beta_0 - C_F) + 4\beta_0(1 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F) , \quad (3.15)$$

with the two-loop cusp anomalous dimension $A_2 = (16/3 - 8\zeta_2)C_FC_A + (20/3)C_F\beta_0$. Eq.(3.15) fixes $B_{L,1}$ (see eq.(2.10)):

$$B_{L,1} = -[\beta_0 - C_F + 4(1 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)] . \quad (3.16)$$

However, comparing with the exact coefficient of the single $\frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x}$ term occurring at $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$ in $K_L(x, Q^2)$, one finds the latter is *not* given by $-(j_{L,1}\beta_1 + 2j_{L,2}\beta_0)\frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x}$ as predicted by eq.(2.9) and (3.13), but rather by:

$$-[j_{L,1}\beta_1 + 2(j_{L,2} + \Delta_{L,2})\beta_0]\frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x} , \quad (3.17)$$

with

$$\Delta_{L,2} = -16(C_A - 2C_F)^2(1 - 3\zeta_2 + \zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3) . \quad (3.18)$$

Eq.(3.17) and (3.18) represent the equivalent of eq.(22) of [10] at the level of the physical kernel. Furthermore, $j_{L,3}$ could be extracted from the $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$ non-logarithmic terms, and thus also $B_{L,2}$, since (eq.(2.10)):

$$j_{L,3} = A_3 - \beta_1 B_{L,1} - 2\beta_0 B_{L,2} . \quad (3.19)$$

Large β_0 case: it shown in appendix D that eq.(2.9) is indeed valid at large- β_0 , where it takes the more special form:

$$K_L(x, Q^2)|_{\text{large } \beta_0} \sim \left[\frac{d\mathcal{B}_\infty((1-x)Q^2)/d\ln Q^2}{1-x} \right]_+ + \mathcal{B}_\infty(Q^2)\delta(1-x) , \quad (3.20)$$

i.e. $\mathcal{J}_L(Q^2)|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = \frac{d\mathcal{B}_\infty(Q^2)}{d\ln Q^2}$ is the derivative of the large- β_0 delta function coefficient $\mathcal{B}(Q^2)|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = \mathcal{B}_\infty(Q^2)$. Collecting all the δ function contributions in eq.(3.7) one easily finds, since the splitting functions contributions can be neglected at large β_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(Q^2)|_{\text{large } \beta_0} &= -\beta_0 a_s - \beta_0 \delta_2|_{\text{large } \beta_0} a_s^2 + \mathcal{O}(a_s^3) \\ &= -\beta_0 a_s - \frac{19}{6}\beta_0^2 a_s^2 + \mathcal{O}(a_s^3) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where I used eq.(B.2) to get $\delta_2|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = \frac{19}{6}\beta_0$, which agrees with the value $b^{(2)}|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = -b_\infty^{(2)}\beta_0^2 = -\frac{19}{6}\beta_0^2$ derived in a different manner in eqs.(D.21) and (D.29). Comparing eq.(3.20) with eq.(2.10) also shows that $\mathcal{B}(Q^2)|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = B_L(a_s(Q^2))|_{\text{large } \beta_0}$.

4. Threshold resummation beyond leading order

One can also try to resum large $x \rightarrow 1$ logarithms suppressed by one power of $1 - x$ with respect to the leading terms. Here the situation looks similar to the one prevailing [11, 12] for the F_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) structure functions. The exact calculation gives for $x \rightarrow 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_L(x, Q^2) \sim & \frac{1}{1-x} \left[j_{L,1} a_s + a_s^2 [-j_{L,1} \beta_0 L_x + j_{L,2}] \right. \\
& + a_s^3 [j_{L,1} \beta_0^2 L_x^2 - (j_{L,1} \beta_1 + 2(j_{L,2} + \Delta_{L,2}) \beta_0) L_x + j_{L,3}] + \mathcal{O}(a_s^4) \Big] \\
& + \left[-j_{L,1} a_s + a_s^2 (16C_F^2 L_x + \mathcal{O}(L_x^0)) \right. \\
& \left. + a_s^3 (-24\beta_0 C_F^2 L_x^2 + \mathcal{O}(L_x)) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^4) \right] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where I used that [23, 24, 28, 29]:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0(x) & \sim \frac{A_1}{1-x} - A_1 + \mathcal{O}(1-x) \\
P_1(x) & \sim \frac{A_2}{1-x} + A_1^2 L_x + \mathcal{O}(L_x^0) \\
P_2(x) & \sim \frac{A_3}{1-x} + 2A_1 A_2 L_x + \mathcal{O}(L_x^0) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and the $\delta(1-x)$ terms have been dropped.

Leading logarithms: considering first the leading logarithms in the last two lines of eq.(4.1) suggests to try the ansatz² involving a single ‘explicit’ logarithm (ignoring for the moment the ‘anomalous’ next-to-leading logarithmic $\Delta_{L,2}$ contribution to the $\mathcal{O}(1/(1-x))$ term):

$$K_L(x, Q^2) \sim \frac{1}{1-x} \mathcal{J}_L((1-x)Q^2) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}_{0L}((1-x)Q^2) \ln(1-x) - j_{L,1} a_s + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2) , \tag{4.3}$$

where the $\mathcal{O}(a_s^2)$ part refers to terms which contribute only to sub-leading logarithms. Setting:

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}}_{0L}((1-x)Q^2) = \bar{j}_{0L,2} a_s^2 + a_s^3 (-2\bar{j}_{0L,2} \beta_0 L_x) + \bar{j}_{0L,3} + \dots , \tag{4.4}$$

one must require:

$$\bar{j}_{0L,2} = 16C_F^2 \tag{4.5}$$

to match the coefficient of the $a_s^2 L_x$ term in $K_L(x, Q^2)$. The ansatz eq.(4.3) then predicts the coefficient of the $a_s^3 L_x^2$ term to be $-32C_F^2 \beta_0$, instead of the exact value $-24C_F^2 \beta_0$. I note however that the color factors are correctly reproduced (as well as the absence of Riemann ζ function contributions). The exact result also shows that the L_x^2 term in the combination $2\Delta_3(x) - \Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$ occurring in eq.(3.7) is contributed only by the C_F^2 color

²An expansion in $1/(1-x)$, rather than in $1/r = x/(1-x)$ as in the case of the F_2 structure function, appears more appropriate here, leading to a simpler result eq.(4.5).

factor, which implies the corresponding contributions of the $C_F\beta_0$ and C_FC_A color factors cancel between $\Delta_3(x)$ and $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$: this observation allows to relate 3-loop coefficients to 2-loop ones for these color factors.

Moreover, both the exact and the predicted values of the coefficient of the $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3) C_F^2\beta_0 L_x^2$ term turn out to be *the same* [11,12] for the physical evolution kernels K_i associated to F_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and for K_L . This equality may well be accidental, since this $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$ coefficient is contributed by 3-loop coefficient functions in the case of K_L , but by 2-loop ones in the case of K_i . If however there is a deeper reason for it, one would predict the $\mathcal{O}(a_s^4) C_F^2\beta_0^2 L_x^3$ term in K_L (contributed by 4-loop coefficient functions) to be given by $\frac{88}{3}C_F^2\beta_0^2 L_x^3$, namely by the corresponding $\mathcal{O}(a_s^4) C_F^2\beta_0^2 L_x^3$ term [11,12] in K_i (contributed by 3-loop coefficient functions).

Next-to-leading logarithms: using the results in [10], it is also possible to compute the coefficient of the $a_s^3 \mathcal{O}(L_x)$ term in the last line of eq.(4.1), which requires in addition the knowledge of $d_{31} + c_{31}$ (in the notation of appendix A); the latter information can be derived [32] from the exact results in [19]. An interesting fact emerges concerning the ‘anomalous’ $\Delta_{L,2}$ contribution. In leading $1/(1-x)$ order, it was defined as a discrepancy with respect to the prediction of the ansatz eq.(2.9). It turns out it also coincides with the contribution of the $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3) \beta_0(C_A - 2C_F)^2$ ‘non-planar’ color factor, if one uses a basis of color factors made of products of the three basic factors C_F , $C_A - 2C_F$ and β_0 . In this basis, one finds the contribution of the $a_s^3\beta_0(C_A - 2C_F)^2 L_x$ term to be *minus* that of the corresponding $a_s^3\beta_0(C_A - 2C_F)^2 L_x/(1-x)$ term. Namely the $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3) \beta_0(C_A - 2C_F)^2$ single logarithmic contribution to K_L is given by (see eq.(C.3)):

$$-2\beta_0\Delta_{L,2}\left(\frac{1}{1-x} - 1\right) \ln(1-x) . \quad (4.6)$$

5. Conclusion

The suggestion that the longitudinal physical evolution kernel depends at large x upon the single scale $(1-x)Q^2$ (similarly to the physical kernels K_i associated to the F_i structure functions, $i = 1, 2, 3$), which is indeed correct in the large- β_0 limit, is seen to fail at finite β_0 even in the leading $\mathcal{O}(1/(1-x))$ order. While the ansatz is probably sustained to all orders in a_s at the level of the leading logarithmic contributions to the kernel, an obstacle to threshold resummation appears at $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$ in the next-to-leading logarithmic contribution. Interestingly, the very same next-to-leading logarithmic term responsible for the problem also shows up in the kernel (but with opposite sign) at $\mathcal{O}((1-x)^0)$ order. At the same $\mathcal{O}((1-x)^0)$ order, another obstruction to threshold resummation already appears in K_L at the leading logarithmic level, which looks more similar to the one encountered [11,12] in the case of the K_i ’s ($i = 1, 2, 3$). The results in [12] make it clear that the success of the present $\mathcal{O}(1/(1-x))$ ansatz for K_L at the leading logarithmic level is related to the universality [11,12] of the leading logarithmic contribution to the K_i ’s at $\mathcal{O}((1-x)^0)$ order. It would be interesting to find out whether the additional relations in K_L observed in the present paper at $\mathcal{O}((1-x)^0)$ order, if not accidental, could also be linked to a simple property of the difference $K_1 - K_2$.

Acknowledgements

I thank Andreas Vogt for communicating the exact results for the c_{ik} 's and the d_{ik} 's defined in appendix A.

A. Soft part of $c_L^{(i)}(x)$

Setting in the limit $x \rightarrow 1$:

$$c_L^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2i-2} \ln^k(1-x)[c_{ik} + (1-x)d_{ik}] , \quad (\text{A.1})$$

we have for $i = 1$:

$$c_{10} = 4C_F \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and:

$$d_{10} + c_{10} = 0 . \quad (\text{A.3})$$

For $i = 2$ one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{22} &= 8C_F^2 \\ c_{21} &= 4C_F[C_F - \beta_0 - 4(1 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)] \\ c_{20} &= 4C_F\left[\frac{19}{6}\beta_0 - \left(\frac{47}{6} + 4\zeta_2\right)C_F + \left(\frac{1}{3} + 4\zeta_2 - 6\zeta_3\right)(C_A - 2C_F)\right] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{22} + c_{22} &= 0 \\ d_{21} + c_{21} &= 32C_F^2 \\ d_{20} + c_{20} &= 4C_F[3(C_F - \beta_0) - 4(1 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)] . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

For $i = 3$, we have [12, 19]:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{34} &= 8C_F^3 \\ c_{33} &= 8C_F^2\left[C_F - \frac{4}{3}\beta_0 - 4(1 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)\right] \\ c_{32} &= C_F\left[\left(-\frac{118}{3} - 96\zeta_2\right)C_F^2 + 4\beta_0^2 + \frac{98}{3}C_F\beta_0 + 24(1 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)\beta_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(-\frac{8}{3} + 32\zeta_2 - 48\zeta_3\right)C_F(C_A - 2C_F) - 32(\zeta_3 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)^2\right] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

while the following results can be easily deduced from [10]:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{34} + c_{34} &= 0 \\
d_{33} + c_{33} &= 64C_F^3 \\
d_{32} + c_{32} &= 8C_F^2[C_F - 9\beta_0 - 20(1 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)] .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Moreover one can also derive [32] c_{31} and d_{31} from the results in [19]. Here I quote the outcome for $d_{31} + c_{31}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{31} + c_{31} &= C_F \left[(0 \times \zeta_3 + 0 \times \zeta_2^2 + \dots) C_F^2 + 24\beta_0^2 + \frac{500}{3} C_F \beta_0 + (240 - 192\zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)\beta_0 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-192\zeta_3 + 0 \times \zeta_2^2 + \dots) C_F (C_A - 2C_F) - 64(\zeta_3 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)^2 \right] , \tag{A.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where only the ζ_3 -dependent terms (there is no ζ_2^2 term) have been written down for the $C_F^2(C_A - 2C_F)$ color factor (the C_F^3 factor has no ζ_3 contribution either).

B. Soft parts of $\Delta_2(x)$ and $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$

For $x \rightarrow 1$, one obtains using eq.(3.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_2(x) &= \frac{1}{4C_F} \left[2c_{22} \frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x} + c_{21} \frac{1}{1-x} \right] + \delta_2 \delta(1-x) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4C_F} \left[(d_{22} + c_{22}) \ln^2(1-x) + (d_{21} + c_{21} + 2d_{22} - 2c_{22}) \ln(1-x) + d_{20} + c_{20} + d_{21} - c_{21} \right] , \tag{B.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where the $\ln^p(1-x)/(1-x)$ terms ($p \geq 0$) should be interpreted as usual as $+$ -distributions, which implies that

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{4C_F} c_{20} , \tag{B.2}$$

with c_{20} given in eq.(A.4). One thus gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_2(x) &= \frac{1}{1-x} [4C_F \ln(1-x) + B_{L,1}] + \delta_2 \delta(1-x) \\
&\quad + 0 \times \ln(1-x) + 10C_F - 2\beta_0 - B_{L,1} , \tag{B.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where $B_{L,1}$ is given in eq.(3.16). I note that not only the $\mathcal{O}(\ln^2(1-x))$ term, but also the $\mathcal{O}(\ln(1-x))$ term, is absent in eq.(B.3).

Using e.g. the formulas in Appendix A of [11], one deduces the soft part of $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x) &= a_3 \frac{\ln^3(1-x)}{1-x} + a_2 \frac{\ln^2(1-x)}{1-x} + a_1 \frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x} + a_0 \frac{1}{1-x} \\
&\quad + b_3 \ln^3(1-x) + b_2 \ln^2(1-x) + b_1 \ln(1-x) + b_0 , \tag{B.4}
\end{aligned}$$

(where the $\delta(1-x)$ term has been skept), with:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3 &= 16C_F^2 \\
a_2 &= 12C_F[-\beta_0 + C_F - 4(1-\zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)] \\
a_1 &= -32\zeta_2 C_F^2 + 8C_F\delta_2 + 2B_{L,1}^2 \\
a_0 &= -8\zeta_2 C_F B_{L,1} + 32\zeta_3 C_F^2 + 2B_{L,1}\delta_2 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_3 &= 0 \\
b_2 &= 4C_F[-\beta_0 + 13C_F + 4(1-\zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)] \\
b_1 &= 2B_{L,1}(14C_F - 2\beta_0) - 2B_{L,1}^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

Eqs.(B.5) and (B.6) yield:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \left(-\frac{182}{3} - 64\zeta_2\right)C_F^2 + 2\beta_0^2 + \frac{64}{3}C_F\beta_0 + 16(1-\zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)\beta_0 \\
&\quad + \left(-\frac{40}{3} + 48\zeta_2 - 48\zeta_3\right)C_F(C_A - 2C_F) + 32(1-\zeta_2)^2(C_A - 2C_F)^2 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= -30C_F^2 - 6\beta_0^2 + 36C_F\beta_0 - 32(1-\zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)\beta_0 \\
&\quad + 128(1-\zeta_2)C_F(C_A - 2C_F) - 32(1-\zeta_2)^2(C_A - 2C_F)^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

I note that b_1 (contrary to a_1) *does not have any* ζ_3 contribution: this fact (as well as the vanishing of b_3) is due to the absence of a $\mathcal{O}(\ln(1-x))$ term in eq.(B.3).

C. Soft part of $\Delta_3(x)$

For $x \rightarrow 1$, eq.(3.8) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_3(x) &= \frac{1}{4C_F} \left[4c_{34} \frac{\ln^3(1-x)}{1-x} + 3c_{33} \frac{\ln^2(1-x)}{1-x} + 2c_{32} \frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x} + c_{31} \frac{1}{1-x} \right. \\
&\quad + (d_{34} + c_{34}) \ln^4(1-x) + (d_{33} + c_{33} + 4d_{34} - 4c_{34}) \ln^3(1-x) \\
&\quad \left. + (d_{32} + c_{32} + 3d_{33} - 3c_{33}) \ln^2(1-x) + (d_{31} + c_{31} + 2d_{32} - 2c_{32}) \ln(1-x) + \dots \right] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

where the $\delta(1-x)$ term has been skept. One thus gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_3(x) = & \frac{1}{1-x} \left[8C_F^2 \ln^3(1-x) + C_F \left(-8\beta_0 + 6C_F - 24(1-\zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F) \right) \ln^2(1-x) \right. \\
& + \left((-24\zeta_3 + 0 \times \zeta_2^2 + \dots) C_F(C_A - 2C_F) - 16(\zeta_3 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)^2 + \dots \right) \ln(1-x) + \dots \Big] \\
& + \left[0 \times \ln^3(1-x) + 2C_F \left(-\beta_0 + 19C_F + 4(1-\zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F) \right) \ln^2(1-x) \right. \\
& + \left. \left((0 \times \zeta_3 + 0 \times \zeta_2^2 + \dots) C_F(C_A - 2C_F) + 16(\zeta_3 - \zeta_2)(C_A - 2C_F)^2 + \dots \right) \ln(1-x) + \dots \right] , \tag{C.2}
\end{aligned}$$

where only the contribution of the $(C_A - 2C_F)^2$ color factor, as well as the ζ_3 contribution to the $C_F(C_A - 2C_F)$ factor (which has no ζ_2^2 contribution), have been written down in the coefficients of the $\ln(1-x)/(1-x)$ and $\ln^3(1-x)$ terms (the other color factors have no ζ_3 , nor ζ_2^2 , contributions). I note that not only the $\mathcal{O}(\ln^4(1-x))$, but also the $\mathcal{O}(\ln^3(1-x))$ terms are absent in the $\mathcal{O}((1-x)^0)$ part of eq.(C.2). Moreover the $(C_A - 2C_F)^2$ color factor contributions to the $\ln(1-x)/(1-x)$ and $\ln(1-x)$ terms are *equal up to a sign*, and the same statement holds for the corresponding contributions in $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$, see eq.(B.7) and (B.8).

Consider now the combination $2\Delta_3(x) - \Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$ occurring at $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$ in eq.(3.7). Using the above results, one finds:

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta_3(x) - \Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x) = & -4C_F\beta_0 \frac{\ln^2(1-x)}{1-x} + (2\Delta_{L,2} + \dots) \frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x} + \dots \\
& + (24C_F^2 + 0 \times C_F\beta_0 + 0 \times C_FC_A) \ln^2(1-x) + (-2\Delta_{L,2} + \dots) \ln(1-x) + \dots , \tag{C.3}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Delta_{L,2} = -16[\zeta_3 - \zeta_2 + (1 - \zeta_2)^2](C_A - 2C_F)^2 , \tag{C.4}$$

where the $\zeta_3 - \zeta_2$ term arises from $\Delta_3(x)$, and the $(1 - \zeta_2)^2$ term from $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$. Eq.(C.4) agrees with eq.(3.18). One observes that:

- i) Concerning leading logarithms: the $C_F\beta_0$ and C_FC_A color factors contributions to the $\ln^2(1-x)$ term have cancelled between $\Delta_3(x)$ and $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$.
- ii) Concerning next-to-leading logarithms: only the $(C_A - 2C_F)^2$ color factor contribution to the $\ln(1-x)/(1-x)$ and $\ln(1-x)$ terms has been written down in eq.(C.3), since the other color factors do not have any ζ_3 , nor ζ_2^2 , terms: in particular, the ζ_3 parts of the $C_F(C_A - 2C_F)$ factor have cancelled between the $\Delta_3(x)$ and the $\Delta_2^{\otimes 2}(x)$ contributions to the $\ln(1-x)/(1-x)$ coefficient. Again, I note that the $(C_A - 2C_F)^2$ color factor contributions to the $\ln(1-x)/(1-x)$ and $\ln(1-x)$ terms are *equal up to a sign*, as follows from a previous remark.

D. Large- β_0 results

The large- n_f (“large- β_0 ”) longitudinal coefficient function has been computed to all orders in α_s by various methods [33–35]. Here I use the dispersive approach of [34] to derive

³The $C_F(C_A - 2C_F)$ color factor in the coefficient of the $\ln(1-x)$ term has no ζ_3 contribution either.

eq.(3.20). The derivation is not quite trivial and proceeds in two steps: 1) first one proves the existence of a momentum space threshold resummation formula for the coefficient function itself, namely as $x \rightarrow 1$:

$$\mathcal{C}_L(x, Q^2)|_{\text{large } \beta_0} \sim \mathcal{C}_{L,0}((1-x)Q^2) . \quad (\text{D.1})$$

2) Next, one shows that eq.(D.1) implies eq.(3.20).

D.1 Coefficient function

To derive eq.(D.1), I use a method similar to the one in [7], based on a dispersive approach [34, 36–38]. I first observe the large- β_0 longitudinal coefficient function $\mathcal{C}_L$ itself (at the difference of $\mathcal{C}_2$) obeys the dispersive representation:

$$\mathcal{C}_L(x, Q^2)|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = 4 C_F \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} a_V^{Mink}(\mu^2) \dot{\mathcal{F}}_L(\mu^2/Q^2, x) , \quad (\text{D.2})$$

where $\mathcal{F}_L(\mu^2/Q^2, x)$ is the longitudinal ‘characteristic function’ (with $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_L \equiv -\mu^2 \frac{d\mathcal{F}_L}{d\mu^2}$), which has been computed in [34]. In eq.(D.2) $a_V^{Mink}(\mu^2)$ is a ‘Minkowkian coupling’, related formally (i.e. barring the Landau pole) to the one-loop V-scheme coupling

$$a_s^V(Q^2) = \frac{a_s(Q^2)}{1 - \frac{5}{3}\beta_0 a_s(Q^2)} \quad (\text{D.3})$$

by the dispersion relation:

$$a_s^V(Q^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{Q^2} \frac{a_V^{Mink}(\mu^2)}{(1 + \mu^2/Q^2)^2} . \quad (\text{D.4})$$

Throughout this appendix, $a_s(Q^2)$ is the one-loop $\overline{\text{MS}}$ coupling and $\beta_0 = -\frac{2}{3}n_f$ is defined as the abelian (large- n_f) part of the one loop beta function coefficient. Next, one takes the $x \rightarrow 1$ expansion under the integral (D.2) with a fixed invariant jet mass $W^2 = Q^2(1-x)/x \equiv Q^2 r$. Starting from the expression for $\mathcal{F}_L(\epsilon, x)$ (with $\epsilon = \mu^2/Q^2$):

$$\mathcal{F}_L(\epsilon, x) = \mathcal{F}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon, x) \theta(1 - x - \epsilon x) \quad (\text{D.5})$$

where $\mathcal{F}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon, x)$ is the real gluon emission contribution (there is no virtual contribution), and using the explicit expression for $\mathcal{F}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon, x)$ in [34], one obtains the small r expansion (at *fixed* $\xi \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{r} = \frac{\mu^2}{W^2}$):

$$\mathcal{F}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon, x) = \mathcal{F}_{L,0}^{(r)}(\xi) + r \mathcal{F}_{L,1}^{(r)}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(r^2) , \quad (\text{D.6})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{L,0}^{(r)}(\xi) &= (1 - \xi)^2 \\ \mathcal{F}_{L,1}^{(r)}(\xi) &= -(1 + 4\xi \ln \xi + 2\xi - 3\xi^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.7})$$

Thus ($\cdot \equiv -\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2}$):

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon, x) = \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,0}^{(r)}(\xi) + r \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,1}^{(r)}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(r^2) , \quad (\text{D.8})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,0}^{(r)}(\xi) &= 2\xi - 2\xi^2 \\ \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,1}^{(r)}(\xi) &= 4\xi \ln \xi + 6\xi - 6\xi^2 .\end{aligned}\tag{D.9}$$

Using these results into eq.(D.5), and noting that

$$\theta(1 - x - \epsilon x) = \theta(\xi < 1) ,\tag{D.10}$$

one gets:

$$\mathcal{F}_L(\epsilon, x) = \mathcal{F}_{L,0}(\xi) + r \mathcal{F}_{L,1}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(r^2)\tag{D.11}$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}_{L,i}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}_{L,i}^{(r)}(\xi) \theta(\xi < 1) ,\tag{D.12}$$

and also

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_L(\epsilon, x) = \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,0}(\xi) + r \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,1}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(r^2)\tag{D.13}$$

with

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,i}(\xi) = \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,i}^{(r)}(\xi) \theta(\xi < 1) ,\tag{D.14}$$

where I used the fact that all the terms $\mathcal{F}_{L,i}^{(r)}(\xi)$ in (D.6) vanish at $\xi = 1$ (which allows to treat the θ function effectively as a multiplicative constant when taking the derivative). This feature actually follows from the stronger property that the *exact* function $\mathcal{F}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon, x)$, as well as its first derivative $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon, x)$, *both vanish* at $\xi = 1$, i.e. for $\epsilon = \frac{1-x}{x}$. Indeed one finds, expanding around $\xi = 1$ at fixed r :

$$\mathcal{F}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon, x) = (1+r)(1-\xi)^2 + \mathcal{O}((1-\xi)^3) .\tag{D.15}$$

Reporting eq.(D.13) into (D.2), one thus obtains the small r expansion ($r = (1-x)/x$) of the (large- β_0) longitudinal coefficient function:

$$\mathcal{C}_L(x, Q^2) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = \mathcal{C}_{L,0}(W^2) + r \mathcal{C}_{L,1}(W^2) + \mathcal{O}(r^2)\tag{D.16}$$

with ($\xi = \mu^2/W^2$):

$$\mathcal{C}_{L,i}(W^2) = 4C_F \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} a_V^{Mink}(\mu^2) \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,i}(\xi) .\tag{D.17}$$

Eq.(D.16) shows that there is a momentum space threshold resummation formula valid to *all orders* in $1-x$ for the coefficient function itself, whose leading order term proves in particular eq.(D.1).

As a by-product, eq.(D.17) also gives the dispersive representations of the $\mathcal{C}_{L,i}$ functions. I note that $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,i}(0) = 0$ (see eq.(D.9)), as it should for eq.(D.17) to be infrared finite. This result follows from the observation that $\mathcal{F}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon = 0, x)$ is *finite*:

$$\mathcal{F}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon = 0, x) = x , \quad (\text{D.18})$$

which guarantees the vanishing of its logarithmic derivative at $\epsilon = 0$ (or equivalently $\xi = 0$) for *any* x (equivalently any r):

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_L^{(r)}(\epsilon = 0, x) = 0 . \quad (\text{D.19})$$

I further note that $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,0}(\xi)$ is *analytic* for $\xi \rightarrow 0$, which implies [36–38] the *leading* function $\mathcal{C}_{L,0}$ *does not* have infrared renormalons. On the other hand, the vanishing for $\xi \rightarrow 0$ of $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_{L,i}(\xi)$ is *logarithmically enhanced*, hence non-analytic, for $i \geq 1$, which implies renormalons in the subleading $\mathcal{C}_{L,i}$ ($i \geq 1$) functions.

Finally, the perturbative expansion in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme of the $\mathcal{C}_{L,i}$ functions can easily be obtained from eq.(D.3), (D.4), (D.9), (D.14) and (D.17). Setting:

$$\mathcal{C}_{L,i}(Q^2) = 4C_F \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\beta_0)^{j-1} c_{L,i}^{(j)} a_s^j , \quad (\text{D.20})$$

one finds (e.g. for the first five orders of the leading $i = 0$ function):

$$\begin{aligned} c_{L,0}^{(1)} &= 1 \\ c_{L,0}^{(2)} &= \frac{19}{6} \\ c_{L,0}^{(3)} &= \frac{203}{18} - 2\zeta_2 \\ c_{L,0}^{(4)} &= \frac{4955}{108} - 19\zeta_2 \\ c_{L,0}^{(5)} &= \frac{34883}{162} - \frac{406}{3}\zeta_2 + \frac{36}{5}\zeta_2^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.21})$$

We shall also need the moment space version of eq.(D.1). Taking the moments, one gets at large N :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_L(N, a_s) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} \sim \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \mathcal{C}_{L,0}((1-x)Q^2) . \quad (\text{D.22})$$

Renormalization group invariance at large- β_0 implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{L,0}((1-x)Q^2) &= 4C_F \left[a_s + a_s^2 \beta_0 (-L_x + c_{L,0}^{(2)}) + a_s^3 \beta_0^2 (L_x^2 - 2c_{L,0}^{(2)} L_x + c_{L,0}^{(3)}) \right. \\ &\quad + a_s^4 \beta_0^3 (-L_x^3 + 3c_{L,0}^{(2)} L_x^2 - 3c_{L,0}^{(3)} L_x + c_{L,0}^{(4)}) \\ &\quad \left. + a_s^5 \beta_0^4 (L_x^4 - 4c_{L,0}^{(2)} L_x^3 + 6c_{L,0}^{(3)} L_x^2 - 4c_{L,0}^{(4)} L_x + c_{L,0}^{(5)}) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^6) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.23})$$

where $L_x = \ln(1-x)$. Using eq.(D.23) into (D.22) then yields, for $N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_L(N, a_s) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} &\sim \frac{4C_F}{N} \left[a_s + a_s^2 \beta_0 \left(\tilde{L} + c_{L,0}^{(2)} \right) + a_s^3 \beta_0^2 \left(\tilde{L}^2 + 2c_{L,0}^{(2)} \tilde{L} + c_{L,0}^{(3)} + \zeta_2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad + a_s^4 \beta_0^3 \left(\tilde{L}^3 + 3c_{L,0}^{(2)} \tilde{L}^2 + (3c_{L,0}^{(3)} + 3\zeta_2) \tilde{L} + c_{L,0}^{(4)} + 3\zeta_2 c_{L,0}^{(2)} + 2\zeta_3 \right) \\
&\quad + a_s^5 \beta_0^4 \left(\tilde{L}^4 + 4c_{L,0}^{(2)} \tilde{L}^3 + (6c_{L,0}^{(3)} + 6\zeta_2) \tilde{L}^2 + (4c_{L,0}^{(4)} + 12\zeta_2 c_{L,0}^{(2)} + 8\zeta_3) \tilde{L} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + c_{L,0}^{(5)} + 6\zeta_2 c_{L,0}^{(3)} + 8\zeta_3 c_{L,0}^{(2)} + \frac{27}{5} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^6) \right] \quad (\text{D.24})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{L} = \ln N + \gamma_E$. Eq.(D.21) and (D.24) can be checked more directly using the results in [35]. However, the advantage of the dispersive approach used here is that it gives a proof of eq.(D.1) to all orders in a_s .

D.2 Physical evolution kernel

To show eq.(D.1) implies eq.(3.20) one proceeds again in two steps: 1) first, one proves that eq.(D.1) implies in moment space for $N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\tilde{K}_L(Q^2, N) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} \sim N \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \mathcal{B}_\infty((1-x)Q^2) \quad (\text{D.25})$$

where $\mathcal{B}_\infty(Q^2)$ is a large- β_0 renormalization group invariant quantity similar to $\mathcal{C}_{L,0}(Q^2)$, which satisfies an analogue of eq.(D.23):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_\infty((1-x)Q^2) &= -\beta_0 \left[a_s + a_s^2 \beta_0 (-L_x + b_\infty^{(2)}) + a_s^3 \beta_0^2 (L_x^2 - 2b_\infty^{(2)} L_x + b_\infty^{(3)}) \right. \\
&\quad + a_s^4 \beta_0^3 (-L_x^3 + 3b_\infty^{(2)} L_x^2 - 3b_\infty^{(3)} L_x + b_\infty^{(4)}) \\
&\quad \left. + a_s^5 \beta_0^4 (L_x^4 - 4b_\infty^{(2)} L_x^3 + 6b_\infty^{(3)} L_x^2 - 4b_\infty^{(4)} L_x + b_\infty^{(5)}) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^6) \right] . \quad (\text{D.26})
\end{aligned}$$

2) Next, one shows that eq.(D.25) implies eq.(3.20).

1) One notes that eq.(D.25) and (D.26) imply for $N \rightarrow \infty$ (similarly to eq.(D.24)):

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_L(Q^2, N) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} &\sim -\beta_0 \left[a_s + a_s^2 \beta_0 \left(\tilde{L} + b_\infty^{(2)} \right) + a_s^3 \beta_0^2 \left(\tilde{L}^2 + 2b_\infty^{(2)} \tilde{L} + b_\infty^{(3)} + \zeta_2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad + a_s^4 \beta_0^3 \left(\tilde{L}^3 + 3b_\infty^{(2)} \tilde{L}^2 + (3b_\infty^{(3)} + 3\zeta_2) \tilde{L} + b_\infty^{(4)} + 3\zeta_2 b_\infty^{(2)} + 2\zeta_3 \right) \\
&\quad + a_s^5 \beta_0^4 \left(\tilde{L}^4 + 4b_\infty^{(2)} \tilde{L}^3 + (6b_\infty^{(3)} + 6\zeta_2) \tilde{L}^2 + (4b_\infty^{(4)} + 12\zeta_2 b_\infty^{(2)} + 8\zeta_3) \tilde{L} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + b_\infty^{(5)} + 6\zeta_2 b_\infty^{(3)} + 8\zeta_3 b_\infty^{(2)} + \frac{27}{5} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^6) \right] . \quad (\text{D.27})
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, eq.(3.4) yields at large- β_0 (where the anomalous dimension term can be neglected):

$$\tilde{K}_L(Q^2, N) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = -\beta_0 a_s^2 \frac{d \ln \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_L(N, a_s) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0}}{da_s} . \quad (\text{D.28})$$

Using eq.(D.24) into eq.(D.28), one then finds that the latter is indeed consistent with eq.(D.27), and determines:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_\infty^{(2)} &= c_{L,0}^{(2)} \\
b_\infty^{(3)} &= 2c_{L,0}^{(3)} - (c_{L,0}^{(2)})^2 + \zeta_2 \\
b_\infty^{(4)} &= 3c_{L,0}^{(4)} + (c_{L,0}^{(2)})^3 - 3c_{L,0}^{(2)}c_{L,0}^{(3)} + 3\zeta_2c_{L,0}^{(2)} + 4\zeta_3 \\
b_\infty^{(5)} &= 4c_{L,0}^{(5)} - (c_{L,0}^{(2)})^4 + 4(c_{L,0}^{(2)})^2c_{L,0}^{(3)} - 2(c_{L,0}^{(3)})^2 - 4c_{L,0}^{(2)}c_{L,0}^{(4)} + 8\zeta_2c_{L,0}^{(3)} - 2\zeta_2(c_{L,0}^{(2)})^2 + 16\zeta_3c_{L,0}^{(2)} + \frac{41}{5}\zeta_2^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.29}$$

which checks eq.(D.25) up to $\mathcal{O}(a_s^5)$ order (the check can be clearly performed to arbitrary high order).

2) To show that eq.(D.25) implies eq.(3.20), it is convenient to introduce the formal (i.e. infrared divergent at $x = 1$) Mellin moment integral:

$$E(Q^2, N) \equiv \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{B}_\infty(W^2) \tag{D.30}$$

where $W^2 = rQ^2$ with $r = (1-x)/x$. One first observes that $dE/d\ln Q^2$ is *finite*. Indeed, eq.(D.30) can be written equivalently as:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(Q^2, N) &= \int_0^1 dx \left[x^{N-1} \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{B}_\infty(W^2) - \frac{1}{1-x} \mathcal{B}_\infty((1-x)Q^2) \right] + \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \mathcal{B}_\infty(k^2) \\
&\equiv \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{B}_\infty(W^2) \right]_+ + \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \mathcal{B}_\infty(k^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{D.31}$$

where the first integral on the right hand side is finite, and the infrared divergence is entirely contained in the second integral. Taking the derivative one thus gets:

$$\frac{dE(Q^2, N)}{d\ln Q^2} = \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{d\mathcal{B}_\infty(W^2)}{d\ln Q^2} \right]_+ + \mathcal{B}_\infty(Q^2) \tag{D.32}$$

where the right hand side is finite. Eq.(D.32) also shows that $dE(Q^2, N)/d\ln Q^2$ is the Mellin moment of $\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{d\mathcal{B}_\infty(W^2)}{d\ln Q^2} \right]_+ + \mathcal{B}_\infty(Q^2)\delta(1-x)$.

On the other hand, performing the change of variable $r = k^2/Q^2$ in the integral of eq.(D.30), one gets:

$$E(Q^2, N) \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{k^2}{Q^2}} \right)^{N+1} \mathcal{B}_\infty(k^2), \tag{D.33}$$

which yields:

$$\frac{dE(Q^2, N)}{d\ln Q^2} = \frac{N+1}{Q^2} \int_0^\infty dk^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{k^2}{Q^2}} \right)^{N+2} \mathcal{B}_\infty(k^2) = \frac{N+1}{N} \int_0^\infty dt \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{t}{N}} \right)^{N+2} \mathcal{B}_\infty(tQ^2/N), \tag{D.34}$$

where the change of variable $t = Nk^2/Q^2$ has been performed. Moreover at large N , eq.(D.25) is equivalent to:

$$\tilde{K}_L(Q^2, N) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} \sim N \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \mathcal{B}_{L,\infty}^\delta(W^2) \equiv \int_0^\infty dt \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{t}{N}} \right)^{N+1} \mathcal{B}_\infty(tQ^2/N) , \quad (\text{D.35})$$

where $t = Nr$. For $N \rightarrow \infty$ with Q^2/N fixed, the right hand sides of eq.(D.34) and (D.35) are both equivalent to $\int_0^\infty dt \exp(-t) \mathcal{B}_\infty(tQ^2/N)$. Thus at large N we have, using eq.(D.32):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_L(Q^2, N) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} &\sim N \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \mathcal{B}_\infty((1-x)Q^2) \\ &\sim \frac{dE(Q^2, N)}{d \ln Q^2} \sim \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^{N-1} - 1}{1-x} \frac{d\mathcal{B}_\infty((1-x)Q^2)}{d \ln Q^2} + \mathcal{B}_\infty(Q^2) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.36})$$

which proves eq.(3.20) by inverting back to momentum space. The large- N equivalence of the two expressions on the right hand side of eq.(D.36) can easily be checked order by order in a_s using eq.(D.26).

Finally, I note it is likely that eq.(3.20) can be generalized to all orders in $(1-x)$, as a consequence of eq.(D.16):

$$K_L(x, Q^2) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} = \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{d\mathcal{B}_\infty(W^2)}{d \ln Q^2} \right]_+ + \mathcal{B}_\infty(Q^2) \delta(1-x) + \mathcal{J}_{0L}(W^2) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} + r \mathcal{J}_{1L}(W^2) \Big|_{\text{large } \beta_0} + \mathcal{O}(r^2) . \quad (\text{D.37})$$

References

- [1] G. Sterman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B281** (1987) 310.
- [2] S. Catani and L. Trentadue, *Nucl. Phys.* **B327** (1989) 323.
- [3] R. Akhouri, M. G. Sotiropoulos and G. Sterman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 3819 [arXiv:hep-ph/9807330].
- [4] M. G. Sotiropoulos, R. Akhouri and G. Sterman, arXiv:hep-ph/9903442.
- [5] R. Akhouri and M. G. Sotiropoulos, arXiv:hep-ph/0304131.
- [6] M. Kramer, E. Laenen and M. Spira, *Nucl. Phys. B* **511** (1998) 523 [arXiv:hep-ph/9611272].
- [7] G. Grunberg, arXiv:0710.5693 [hep-ph].
- [8] E. Laenen, L. Magnea and G. Stavenga, *Phys. Lett. B* **669** (2008) 173 [arXiv:0807.4412 [hep-ph]].
- [9] E. Laenen, G. Stavenga and C. D. White, arXiv:0811.2067 [hep-ph].
- [10] S. Moch and A. Vogt, *JHEP* **0904** (2009) 081 [arXiv:0902.2342 [hep-ph]].
- [11] G. Grunberg and V. Ravindran, arXiv:0902.2702 [hep-ph] (to be published in JHEP).

- [12] S. Moch and A. Vogt, arXiv:0909.2124 [hep-ph].
- [13] W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, *Z. Phys. C* **11** (1982) 293.
- [14] G. Grunberg, *Phys. Rev.* **D29** (1984) 2315.
- [15] S. Catani, *Z. Phys.* **C75** (1997) 665 [hep-ph/9609263].
- [16] J. Blumlein, V. Ravindran and W. L. van Neerven, *Nucl. Phys. B* **586** (2000) 349 [arXiv:hep-ph/0004172].
- [17] W. L. van Neerven and A. Vogt, *Nucl. Phys. B* **603** (2001) 42 [arXiv:hep-ph/0103123].
- [18] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *Phys. Lett. B* **606** (2005) 123 [arXiv:hep-ph/0411112].
- [19] J. A. M. Vermaseren, A. Vogt and S. Moch, *Nucl. Phys.* **B724** (2005) 3 [hep-ph/0504242].
- [20] E. Gardi and G. Grunberg, *Nucl. Phys. B* **794** (2008) 61 [arXiv:0709.2877 [hep-ph]].
- [21] G. P. Korchemsky and G. Marchesini, *Phys. Lett. B* **313** (1993) 433.
- [22] G. P. Korchemsky, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **4** (1989) 1257.
- [23] J. Kodaira and L. Trentadue, *Phys. Lett. B* **112** (1982) 66.
- [24] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *Nucl. Phys.* **B688** (2004) 101 [hep-ph/0403192].
- [25] J. Sanchez Guillen, J. Miramontes, M. Miramontes, G. Parente and O. A. Sampayo, *Nucl. Phys. B* **353** (1991) 337.
- [26] W. L. van Neerven and E. B. Zijlstra, *Phys. Lett. B* **272** (1991) 127.
- [27] S. Moch and J. A. M. Vermaseren, *Nucl. Phys. B* **573** (2000) 853 [arXiv:hep-ph/9912355].
- [28] E. G. Floratos, D. A. Ross and C. T. Sachrajda, *Nucl. Phys. B* **129** (1977) 66 [Erratum-ibid. **B 139** (1978) 545].
- [29] G. Curci, W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, *Nucl. Phys. B* **175** (1980) 27.
- [30] Yu. L. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini and G. P. Salam, *Phys. Lett. B* **634** (2006) 504 [arXiv:hep-ph/0511302].
- [31] B. Basso and G. P. Korchemsky, *Nucl. Phys. B* **775** (2007) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/0612247].
- [32] A. Vogt, private communication.
- [33] J. A. Gracey, arXiv:hep-ph/9509276.
- [34] M. Dasgupta and B. R. Webber, *Phys. Lett. B* **382** (1996) 273 [arXiv:hep-ph/9604388].
- [35] L. Mankiewicz, M. Maul and E. Stein, *Phys. Lett. B* **404** (1997) 345 [arXiv:hep-ph/9703356].
- [36] M. Beneke and V. M. Braun, *Phys. Lett. B* **348** (1995) 513 [arXiv:hep-ph/9411229].
- [37] P. Ball, M. Beneke and V. M. Braun, *Nucl. Phys. B* **452** (1995) 563 [arXiv:hep-ph/9502300].
- [38] Y. L. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini and B. R. Webber, *Nucl. Phys. B* **469** (1996) 93 [arXiv:hep-ph/9512336].